GOALS

TO BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW IRT RECOMMENDATIONS WILL APPLY TO DOE GRANT PROGRAM

TO GAIN EXPERIENCE AND OBTAIN FEEDBACK FROM THE MOCK PANEL

TO EVALUATE COMMUNICATION PROCESS

TO EVALUATE FUNDING ALLOCATION
PROCESS

MEETING AND WEBINARS
2014 ATLANTA – EXERCISE DESIGN
WEBINAR - EXERCISE KICK-OFF
2015 MARCH- STATES ASK TO EXTEND DEADLINE
MAY NTSF REVIEW AND FEEDBACK
AUGUST SECOND PHASE GRANT
PROCESS

2016 MARCH REVIEW OF TRAINING APPLICATION AND NEXT STEP
JUNE REVIEW OF LESSONS LEARNED DOCUMENT AND FINAL FEEDBACK OF THE MOCK REVIEW PANEL
LESSONS LEARNED
SCOPE

• EXERCISE GREW FROM 6 MONTHS TO 18 MONTHS
• ESTIMATE OF 40-60 HOURS WAS LOW
• INFORMATION PROVIDED WAS NOT ADEQUATE
• MOCK MERIT REVIEW PANEL NEEDED MORE DIVERSITY
• NOTABLE LEARNING CURVE
DOE’S INTERNAL PREPAREDNESS

- Grants will be noncompetitive
- Cost principles are defined in OMB Circular A-87
- State personnel cannot be on the merit review panel
- Grant versus cooperative agreement
180C POLICY

- TWO GRANTS INTO ONE
- GRANT VERUS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
- OPERATIONAL COST
- BASE GRANT AWARDS
- VARIABLE AWARD AMOUNTS
- RURAL VS URBAN
- TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE
- TIMING OF ASSISTANCE
- ALLOWABLE ACTIVITIES (OMB A-87)
- MERIT REVIEW CRITERIA
- USE OF START
FY 17

• a. Develop a fact sheet for state/tribal government management to support continued staff time

• b. Develop a grant application template that includes route maps, summary breakdown of government structure and responsibilities (e.g. Home rule state?), history of training/equipment for other shipments for increment of need justification, i. Start fall 2016
FY 17

• c. Resolve the funding formula
• d. ORNL will model analysis of funding formula with different shipping scenarios (e.g. Pilot versus full scale operations) i. AHWG will evaluate data and discuss in early 2017
• e. Develop proposed policy revision language following resolution of the funding formula.
• f. Develop TEPP training modules in spring 2017, to be worked through the NTSF TEPP ad hoc working group